

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 09/663,664	Applicant(s) CHESS ET AL.	
	Examiner Carl Colin	Art Unit 2136	

All Participants:

(1) Carl Colin.

(2) John S. Sensny.
Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 6 November 2006
Time: _____

Type of Interview:
☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.
Rejection(s) discussed:

None

Claims discussed:

1-22 and 25-44

Prior art documents discussed:

None

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner contacted Applicant's attorney John S. Sensny to correct some informalities found in some of the claims and also to better clarify the limitations in other claims in order to place the application in a better form for allowance. Applicant's attorney agreed and authorized the Examiner to amend the claims by Examiner's amendment.